

COMMUTATIVITY OF INTEGRAL QUASI-ARITHMETIC MEANS ON MEASURE SPACES

DOROTA GLAZOWSKA, PAOLO LEONETTI, JANUSZ MATKOWSKI, AND SALVATORE TRINGALI

ABSTRACT. Let $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be finite measure spaces for which there exist $A \in \mathcal{L}$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}$ with $0 < \lambda(A) < \lambda(X)$ and $0 < \mu(B) < \mu(Y)$, and let $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ be a non-empty interval. We prove that, if f and g are continuous bijections $I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$, then the equation

$$f^{-1} \left(\int_X f \left(g^{-1} \left(\int_Y g \circ h \, d\mu \right) \right) d\lambda \right) = g^{-1} \left(\int_Y g \left(f^{-1} \left(\int_X f \circ h \, d\lambda \right) \right) d\mu \right)$$

is satisfied by every $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ -measurable simple function $h : X \times Y \rightarrow I$ if and only if $f = cg$ for some $c \in \mathbf{R}^+$ (it is easy to see that the equation is well posed). An analogous, but essentially different, result, with f and g replaced by continuous injections $I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $\lambda(X) = \mu(Y) = 1$, was recently obtained in [Indag. Math. **27** (2016), 945–953].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be measure spaces, and f and g be real-valued continuous injections defined on a non-empty interval $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ (which may be bounded or unbounded, and need not be open or closed). In this note, we examine conditions under which the equation

$$f^{-1} \left(\int_X f \left(g^{-1} \left(\int_Y g \circ h \, d\mu \right) \right) d\lambda \right) = g^{-1} \left(\int_Y g \left(f^{-1} \left(\int_X f \circ h \, d\lambda \right) \right) d\mu \right) \quad (1)$$

is satisfied by every h in a suitable class of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ -measurable functions $X \times Y \rightarrow I$, taking f and g as unknowns and assuming the equation is well posed (notations and terminology, if not explained, are standard or should be clear from the context).

When $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ are probability spaces, the left- and right-hand side of (1) can be interpreted as “partially mixed” integral quasi-arithmetic means. The interest in functional equations involving generalized means dates back at least to G. Aumann [1] and has been a subject of extensive research, see, e.g., [4], [5], [9, 10], and references therein.

In particular, (1) is naturally related to the vast literature on permutable mappings [11], and is motivated by the study of certainty equivalences, a notion first introduced by S. H. Chew [3] in connection to the theory of expected utility and decision making under uncertainty, see [8] and [12] for current trends in the area.

The equation was recently addressed in [7], where it was observed, among other things, that (1) is well posed if $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ are probability spaces and $h(X \times Y) \Subset I$ for every “test function” h , see [7, Proposition 2] (“ $\Subset$ ” means, as usual, “contained in a compact subset

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 26E60, 39B22, 39B52; Secondary 28E99, 60B99, 91B99.

Key words and phrases. Functional equations, commuting mappings, generalized [quasi-arithmetic] means.

of"). It follows that, if $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ are probability spaces, then both the left- and the right-hand side of (1) is well defined provided that $h : X \times Y \rightarrow I$ is an $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ -measurable simple function, namely, $h = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{E_i}$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in I$ and $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ are disjoint sets such that $E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n = X \times Y$.

With this in mind, we call a measure space $(S, \mathcal{C}, \gamma)$ *non-degenerate* if there exists $A \in \mathcal{C}$ with $0 < \gamma(A) < \gamma(S)$. Here, then, comes the main theorem of [7], which was stated in that paper under the assumption that (1) is satisfied for all $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ -measurable functions $h : X \times Y \rightarrow I$ for which $h(X \times Y) \subseteq I$, but is actually true, as is transparent from its proof, in the following (more general) form.

Theorem 1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be non-degenerate probability spaces, and $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be continuous injections. Then equation (1) is satisfied by every $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ -measurable simple function $h : X \times Y \rightarrow I$ if and only if $f = ag + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ with $a \neq 0$.*

Now we may ask what happens if $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ are not probability spaces, and in the next section we give a partial answer to this question.

2. MAIN RESULT

It is easy to check (we omit details) that (1) is still well posed if $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ are non-degenerate finite measure spaces, and f and g are continuous bijections $I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ (throughout, $\mathbf{R}^+$ denotes the set of positive reals and $\mathbf{N}^+$ the set of positive integers). Accordingly, we have the following analogue of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be non-degenerate finite measure spaces, and $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be continuous bijections, where $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is a (necessarily open) interval. Then equation (1) is satisfied by every $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ -measurable simple function $h : X \times Y \rightarrow I$ if and only if $f = cg$ for some $c \in \mathbf{R}^+$.*

Proof. The “if” part follows by Fubini’s theorem (viz., [2, Theorem 3.4.4]) and the fact that, if $(S, \mathcal{C}, \gamma)$ is a measure space, w a continuous bijection $I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$, and $h : S \rightarrow I$ a $\mathcal{C}$ -measurable function such that $w \circ h$ is γ -integrable, then

$$w^{-1} \left(\int_S w \circ h \, d\gamma \right) = (\alpha w)^{-1} \left(\int_S (\alpha w) \circ h \, d\gamma \right)$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^+$ (we omit details, cf. [7, Proposition 3] for the case of probability spaces).

As for the “only if” part, set $D := \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+$. By hypothesis, there are determined $A \in \mathcal{L}$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\alpha_1 := \lambda(A)$, $\alpha_2 := \lambda(A^c)$, $\beta_1 := \mu(B)$, and $\beta_2 := \mu(B^c)$ belong to $\mathbf{R}^+$, where $A^c := X \setminus A$ and $B^c := Y \setminus B$. Hence, for all $x, y, z, w \in I$ the function

$$h = x \mathbf{1}_{A \times B} + y \mathbf{1}_{A \times B^c} + z \mathbf{1}_{A^c \times B} + w \mathbf{1}_{A^c \times B^c} \quad (2)$$

is an $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ -measurable simple function $X \times Y \rightarrow I$, so we can plug (2) into (1) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{-1}(\alpha_1 f(g^{-1}(\beta_1 g(x) + \beta_2 g(y))) + \alpha_2 f(g^{-1}(\beta_1 g(z) + \beta_2 g(w)))) \\ &= g^{-1}(\beta_1 g(f^{-1}(\alpha_1 f(x) + \alpha_2 f(z))) + \beta_2 g(f^{-1}(\alpha_1 f(y) + \alpha_2 f(w)))). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Set $\varphi := f \circ g^{-1}$ on $\mathbf{R}^+$. Of course, φ is a continuous bijection on $\mathbf{R}^+$, and we derive from (3), through the change of variables $x \mapsto g^{-1}(s)$, $y \mapsto g^{-1}(t)$, $z \mapsto g^{-1}(u)$, and $w \mapsto g^{-1}(v)$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1 \varphi(\beta_1 s + \beta_2 t) + \alpha_2 \varphi(\beta_1 u + \beta_2 v)) \\ &= \beta_1 \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1 \varphi(s) + \alpha_2 \varphi(u)) + \beta_2 \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1 \varphi(t) + \alpha_2 \varphi(v)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for every $s, t, u, v \in g(I) = \mathbf{R}^+$. Moreover, if we take Φ to be the function

$$D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+ : (x, y) \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_1 \varphi(x) + \alpha_2 \varphi(y)), \quad (5)$$

then (4) can be conveniently rewritten as

$$\Phi(\beta_1 \mathbf{x} + \beta_2 \mathbf{y}) = \beta_1 \Phi(\mathbf{x}) + \beta_2 \Phi(\mathbf{y}), \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in D. \quad (6)$$

Let $\preceq$ be the product order on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ induced by the usual order on $\mathbf{R}$, and note that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) < \Phi(\mathbf{y}), \text{ for all distinct } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in D \text{ with } \mathbf{x} \preceq \mathbf{y}. \quad (7)$$

Indeed, φ being a continuous bijection on $\mathbf{R}^+$ entails that φ is strictly monotone. So, assume φ is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing), and let $x, y, z, w \in \mathbf{R}^+$ be such that $x \leq z$, $y \leq w$, and $(x, y) \neq (z, w)$. Then

$$\alpha_1 \varphi(x) + \alpha_2 \varphi(y) < \alpha_1 \varphi(z) + \alpha_2 \varphi(w) \quad (\text{respectively, } \alpha_1 \varphi(x) + \alpha_2 \varphi(y) > \alpha_1 \varphi(z) + \alpha_2 \varphi(w)),$$

and since φ is strictly increasing (respectively, decreasing) if and only if so is φ^{-1} , we conclude that $\Phi(x, y) < \Phi(z, w)$, which is what we wanted to prove.

On the other hand, it is straightforward to check that Φ is surjective. Indeed, pick $z \in \mathbf{R}^+$. By the surjectivity of φ , there exist $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^+$ such that $\alpha_1 \varphi(x) = \alpha_2 \varphi(y) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(z) > 0$, viz., $\alpha_1 \varphi(x) + \alpha_2 \varphi(y) = \varphi(z)$, which, by (5), is equivalent to $\Phi(x, y) = z$.

With this said, set $\xi_n := \Phi(1/n, 1/n)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$. By (7), $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of positive reals. Hence, the limit of ξ_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$ exists, and is non-negative and equal to $\xi := \inf_{n \geq 1} \xi_n$. Suppose for a contradiction that $\xi > 0$. Then, we infer from the surjectivity of Φ that $\xi = \Phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ for some $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathbf{R}^+$, which is, however, impossible, because $\frac{1}{n} < \min(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, and hence, by (7), $\xi_n < \xi$, for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$.

So, using that a local base at $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0)$ (in the usual topology of $\mathbf{R}^2$) is given by the squares of the form $[-1/n, 1/n] \times [-1/n, 1/n]$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$, it follows from the above that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

By letting $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$) in (6), we therefore find that

$$\Phi(\beta_1 \mathbf{x}) = \beta_1 \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\beta_2 \mathbf{y}) = \beta_2 \Phi(\mathbf{y}), \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in D.$$

Together with (6), this in turn implies that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}) + \Phi(\mathbf{y}), \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in D. \quad (8)$$

But D is a subsemigroup of the group $(\mathbf{R}^2, +)$ with $\mathbf{R}^2 = D - D := \{x - y : x, y \in D\}$ and Φ is continuous, so we get from (8) and [6, Theorems 5.5.2 and 18.2.1] that there exist $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$

such that $\Phi(x, y) = ax + by$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^+$, and actually, it is immediate that $a, b \geq 0$ and $a + b \neq 0$, since Φ is a positive function. In addition, we derive from (5) that

$$\alpha_1\varphi(x) + \alpha_2\varphi(y) = \varphi(ax + by), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbf{R}^+. \quad (9)$$

Now, we have already observed that φ is strictly monotone. Suppose for a contradiction that φ is strictly decreasing. Then, φ being a bijection of $\mathbf{R}^+$ gives that $\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0^+$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, and assuming $a \neq 0$ (the case when $b \neq 0$ is similar), this implies by (9) that

$$0 < \alpha_2\varphi(1) = \varphi(ax + b) - \alpha_1\varphi(x) < \varphi(ax + b) \leq \varphi(ax),$$

which is, however, impossible in the limit as x goes to ∞ .

Thus, φ is a strictly increasing continuous bijection of $\mathbf{R}^+$, and hence $\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0^+$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. Taking $\varphi(0) := 0$ and letting $x \rightarrow 0$ (respectively, $y \rightarrow 0$) in (9), we can therefore conclude that

$$\alpha_2\varphi(y) = \varphi(by) \text{ and } \alpha_1\varphi(x) = \varphi(ax), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

It follows $a, b \in \mathbf{R}^+$, and in combination with (9), this yields

$$\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

So, considering that φ is continuous and applying [6, Theorems 5.5.2 and 18.2.1] to the function $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} : (x, y) \mapsto \varphi(x)$ shows that there is a constant $c \in \mathbf{R}^+$ such that $\varphi(x) = cx$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^+$, which is equivalent to $f = cg$. $\blacksquare$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P.L. was supported by a PhD scholarship from Università “Luigi Bocconi”, and S.T. by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Project No. M 1900-N39.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Aumann, *Vollkommene Funktionalmittel und gewisse Kegelschnitteigenschaften*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **176** (1937), 49–55.
- [2] V. I. Bogachev, *Measure Theory - Volume I*, Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [3] S. H. Chew, *A Generalization of the Quasilinear Mean with Applications to the Measurement of Income Inequality and Decison Theory Resolving the Allais Paradox*, Econometrica **51** (1983), No. 4, 1065–1092.
- [4] Z. Daróczy, G. Maksa, and Z. Páles, *Functional Equations Involving Means and Their Gauss Composition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), No. 2, 521–530.
- [5] P. Kahlig and J. Matkowski, *On the Composition of Homogeneous Quasi-Arithmetic Means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **216** (1997), No. 1, 69–85.
- [6] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities: Cauchy’s Equation and Jensen’s Inequality*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009 (2nd edition).
- [7] P. Leonetti, J. Matkowski, and S. Tringali, *On the commutation of generalized means on probability spaces*, Indag. Math. **27** (2016), No. 4, 945–953.
- [8] F. Maccheroni, M. Marinacci, and A. Rustichini, *Ambiguity Aversion, Robustness, and the Variational Representation of Preferences*, Econometrica **74** (2006), No. 6, 1447–1498.
- [9] J. Matkowski, “Generalized weighted arithmetic means”, pp. 555–573 in T.M. Rassias and J. Brzdęk (eds.), *Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Springer Optim. Appl. **52**, Springer, New York, 2012.

-
- [10] ———, *Lagrangian mean-type mappings for which the arithmetic mean is invariant*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **309** (2005), No. 1, 15–24.
- [11] J. F. Ritt, *Permutable Rational Functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (Jul 1923), No. 3, 399–448.
- [12] T. Strzalecki, *Axiomatic Foundations of Multiplier Preferences*, Econometrica **79** (2011), No. 1, 47–73.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ECONOMETRICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZIELONA GÓRA – PROF.
Z. SZAFRANA 4A, PL-65516 ZIELONA GÓRA
E-mail address: `d.glazowska@wmie.uz.zgora.pl`

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITÀ “LUIGI BOCCONI” – VIA ROENTGEN 1, IT-20136 MILANO
E-mail address: `leonetti.paolo@gmail.com`
URL: `https://sites.google.com/site/leonettipaolo/`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ECONOMETRICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZIELONA GÓRA – PROF.
Z. SZAFRANA 4A, PL-65516 ZIELONA GÓRA
E-mail address: `j.matkowski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl`

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ – HEINRICHSTR. 36, AT-8010 GRAZ
E-mail address: `salvatore.tringali@uni-graz.at`
URL: `http://imsc.uni-graz.at/tringali`